

Remarks

Claims 18 and 24 are amended herein. Claims 18-34 remain pending in the Application.

Objection under 35 U.S.C. 132

In the Office Action, the amendment filed August 11, 2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. The Examiner has stated that "a memory for automatically storing said web address and a preference control for organizing said portable device transfer of said web address to said second Internet appliance in a selected order; are not supported by the original disclosure.

Applicant respectfully traverses in part and overcomes in part. Applicant has amended Claims 18, 24 and 30. Applicant respectfully points out that the current feature "a memory for storing said web address" is well supported in the Figures, e.g., Figure 3 storage component 43 and throughout the specification including paragraph [0050]. Therefore, the objection with respect to a memory for storing is overcome.

With respect to the feature "a preference control for organizing said portable device transfer of said web address to said second Internet appliance in a selected order", Applicant respectfully points out that the feature "a preference control for organizing said portable device transfer of said web address to said second Internet appliance in a selected order" is well supported in the Figures, e.g., Figure 5 control button 38 and throughout the specification including the following paragraphs:

[0055] The other function of the control button 38 is referred to as "tag me" function which is basically a bookmark function. This function allows the remote operator 10 to tag a web address and to send the tagged web address when needed. This button allows the user of the remote operator 10 to select personalized web addresses and store them

separately in the customized storage area of the storage 43. The CUSTOMIZED button 38, when pressed, normally causes the first function to be performed. When the user of the remote operator 10 wants to use the button 38 for the second function, the user can press the button down for a predetermined period of time (e.g., five seconds). This will cause the button to be switched to the second functional mode.

[0057] In another embodiment, the UP and DOWN control buttons 31-32 can be programmed to move the web addresses stored in the storage 43 to the top or bottom entry of the storage 43. In addition, the UP and DOWN buttons 31-32 can also be used to move the personalized or book-marked web addresses in and out of the special storage entry (i.e., the "ME" storage entry) in the customized storage area of the storage 43. That "ME" storage entry stores the personalized web address to be sent to external Internet appliance. This transmission is activated or triggered by the user pressing the CUSTOMIZED button 38.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully states that the feature "a preference control for organizing said portable device transfer of said web address to said second Internet appliance in a selected order" is well supported throughout the disclosure and as such the objection under 35 USC 132 is improper and should be removed.

Rejection under 112

In the Office Action, Claims 18, 24 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

The Examiner has stated that the specification fails to teach a memory for automatically storing said web address and a preference control for organizing said portable device transfer of said web address to said second Internet appliance in a selected order.

Applicant respectfully traverses in part and overcomes in part. Applicant has amended Claims 18, 24 and 30 to “a memory for storing said web address.” Applicant respectfully points out that the feature “a memory for storing said web address” is well supported in the Figures, e.g., Figure 3 storage component 43 and throughout the specification including paragraph [0050]. Therefore, the objection with respect to Claims 18, 24 and 30 is overcome.

With respect to the feature “a preference control for organizing said portable device transfer of said web address to said second Internet appliance in a selected order”, Applicant respectfully points out that the feature “a preference control for organizing said portable device transfer of said web address to said second Internet appliance in a selected order” is well supported in the Figures, e.g., Figure 5 storage component 43 and throughout the specification including the following paragraphs:

[0055] The other function of the control button 38 is referred to as “tag me” function which is basically a bookmark function. This function allows the remote operator 10 to tag a web address and to send the tagged web address when needed. This button allows the user of the remote operator 10 to select personalized web addresses and store them separately in the customized storage area of the storage 43. The CUSTOMIZED button 38, when pressed, normally causes the first function to be performed. When the user of the remote operator 10 wants to use the button 38 for the second function, the user can press the button down for a predetermined period of time (e.g., five seconds). This will cause the button to be switched to the second functional mode.

[0057] In another embodiment, the UP and DOWN control buttons 31-32 can be programmed to move the web addresses stored in the storage 43 to the top or bottom entry of the storage 43. In addition, the UP and DOWN buttons 31-32 can also be used to move the personalized or

book-marked web addresses in and out of the special storage entry (i.e., the "ME" storage entry) in the customized storage area of the storage 43. That "ME" storage entry stores the personalized web address to be sent to external Internet appliance. This transmission is activated or triggered by the user pressing the CUSTOMIZED button 38.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully states that the feature "a preference control for organizing said portable device transfer of said web address to said second Internet appliance in a selected order" is well supported throughout the disclosure and as such the objection under 35 USC 132 is improper and should be removed.

Rejection under 103(a)

Claims 18-22, 24-28 and 30-33

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 18-22, 24-28 and 30-33 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiser et al. (5982520) in view of Utsumi (6243741). Applicant has reviewed the cited reference and respectfully submits that the present invention is not rendered obvious over Weiser et al. in view of Utsumi for the following rationale.

With respect to Independent Claims 18, 24 and 30, Applicant respectfully states that Claims 18, 24 and 30 include the features "wirelessly receive a web address from a first Internet appliance, store the web address on the portable device, and provide the web address to a second Internet appliance."

Applicant respectfully agrees with the Examiner that Weiser et al. does not teach or make obvious the present Claimed web address features. As the Examiner has stated, Weiser et al. does not teach the types of digital information as Claimed in the present Application.

However, Applicant respectfully disagrees that Utsumi overcomes the shortcomings of Weiser et al. Applicant understands Utsumi to teach a remote commander (or remote control) for use as an input to a web based television application. That is, Applicant understands the remote commander of Utsumi to operate as a transmitter in the same manner as a standard remote control device. Applicant does not understand the remote commander of Utsumi to provide any reception capabilities either wired or wireless. Applicant understands Utsumi to provide only the standard manual keypad type input capabilities.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that neither Weiser et al. nor Weiser et al. in combination with Utsumi make obvious the features "wirelessly receive a web address from a first Internet appliance, store the web address on the portable device, and provide the web address to a second Internet appliance" as recited in Claims 18, 24 and 30, and as such, Claims 18, 24 and 30 are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicant also respectfully submits that Weiser et al. in combination with Utsumi does not render obvious the present claimed invention as recited in Claims 19-23 which are dependent on an allowable Independent Claim 18, Claims 25-29 which are dependent on an allowable Independent Claim 24, and Claims 31-34 which are dependent on an allowable independent Claim 30 and that Claims 19-23, 25-29 and 31-34 recite further features of the present claimed invention. Therefore, Applicant respectfully states that Claims 19-23, 25-29 and 31-34 are allowable as pending from allowable base Claims.

Claims 23, 29 and 34

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 23, 29 and 34 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiser et al. and Utsumi in view of Wiener et al. (6701317). Applicant has reviewed the cited reference and

respectfully submits that the present invention is not rendered obvious over Weiser et al. and Utsumi in view of Wiener et al. for the following rationale.

With respect to Claim 23, Applicant respectfully points out that Claim 23 depends from the allowable Claim 18 and recites further features of the present claimed invention. Therefore, Applicant respectfully states that Claim 23 is allowable as pending from an allowable base Claim.

With respect to Claim 29, Applicant respectfully points out that Claim 29 depends from the allowable Claim 24 and recites further features of the present claimed invention. Therefore, Applicant respectfully states that Claim 29 is allowable as pending from an allowable base Claim.

With respect to Claim 34, Applicant respectfully points out that Claim 34 depends from the allowable Claim 30 and recites further features of the present claimed invention. Therefore, Applicant respectfully states that Claim 34 is allowable as pending from an allowable base Claim.

Conclusion

In light of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests allowance of Claims 18-34.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,
Wagner, Murabito & Hao LLP

Date: 3/10/05



John P. Wagner, Jr.
Reg. No. 35,398

Two North Market Street
Third Floor
San Jose, California 95113
(408) 938-9060